

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRS | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/600,574 | 06/23/2003 Kinya Aota | | 503.35255VX4 | 9655 | |
| 20457 | 7590 05/12/2005 | EXAMINER | | | |
| | I, TERRY, STOUT & SEVENTEENTH STR | JOHNSON, JO | JOHNSON, JONATHAN J | | |
| SUITE 1800 | SEVENTEENTH STR | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ARLINGTON | , VA 22209-3873 | 1725 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | NI- | Annlinent/a) | m | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---|--------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/600,574 AOTA ET AL. | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Jonathan Jol | | 1725 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 April 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | • | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/820231. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other: | ate | O-152) | | | |

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Midling et al. (WO 95/26254). Midling et al. teach at an end portion of said member adapted to be used in friction stir welding, said member has a raised portion which projects to a thickness direction of said member from one side face of said member (figure 5c), and said raised portion is a portion adapted to have a rotary tool inserted therein so as to carry out a friction stir welding (figure 5c, friction stir welding tool); wherein one side face of said member is adapted to be exposed during friction stir welding (figure 5c); wherein said thickness direction is a direction perpendicular to one side said face (figure 5c, side face being the side parallel to the axis of the rotating tool). The examiner notes that the raised portion will necessarily fill gaps between the members during the friction stir welding process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/600,574

Art Unit: 1725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al. (JP 2-246863) in view of Midling et al. (WO 95/26254). Mochizuki et al. teach a third plate connecting said first plate and said second plate (figure 2, item 27c), and a raised portion provided on an end portion of said first plate (figure 2, item 27f), said raised portion projects to an outer side in a thickness direction of said first plate (figure 2, item 27f); at an end portion of said second plate at a side of an end portion of said hollow frame member having said raised portion (figure 2, item 27e), the hollow frame member has a second raised portion, said second raised portion projects to an outer side in a thickness direction of said second plate (figure 2, item 27e); wherein another member is a hollow member (figure 2, hollow members); wherein one side face of said member is adapted to be exposed during friction stir welding (figure 2); wherein said thickness direction is a direction perpendicular to one side said face (figure 2, side face being the side parallel to the axis of the rotating tool). The examiner notes that the raised portion will necessarily fill gaps between the members during the friction stir welding process. Midling et al. teach at an end portion of first and second plate adapted to be used in friction stir welding, said member has a raised portion which projects to a thickness direction of said member from one side face of said member (figure 5c), and said raised portion is a portion adapted to have a rotary tool inserted therein so as to carry out a friction stir welding (figure 5c, friction stir welding tool). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the structure of Mochizuki et al. to tuilize friction stir welding in order to ensure uniform homogenized weld seams (see Midling et al. page 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,574

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., material of the raised portion fills the gap fills the gap between the two members leaving a weld bead <u>free of any sunken or recessed portions</u>) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,574

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725